## PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD

Effective October 1, 2003

/ 0 687/37 Application or Docket Number 10 541-1838

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I SMALL ENTITY											OTHE	R THAN	
TOTAL CLAIMS			(Colur	(Column 1)		(Column 2)		TYPE		OF		ENTITY	
FOR				15		·		RATE	FEI		RATE	FEE	
			NUMBE	NUMBER FILED		NUMBER EXTRA		BASIC F	EE 385.	00 OF	BASIC FE	F 770.00	
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS			15 minus 20=		• 0			X\$ 9=	:	OF	X\$18=	0	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS  MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PR			3 minus 3 =			S		X43=		OF	X86=	0	
L								+145=		OF	+290≈	0	
*	If the difference	e in column 1 is	less than	zero, enter	"0" in (	column 2		TOTAL		OR		220	
2	CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II							OTHER THAN					
Ť	1	(Column 1)		(Colum		(Column 3)		SMALL	ENTITY	OR	SMALL		
<b>AMENDMENT A</b>		REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		NUMB PREVIO PAID F	ER USLY	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDI TIONA FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	
	Total	. 12	Minus	* 2	20	Ξ		X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=		
AM	Independent FIRST PRESI	ENTATION OF M	Minus			= /		X43=		OR	200° X86=	200	
<u> </u>	FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM									OR	+290=		
							L	TOTAL			TOTAL	2	
		(Column 1)		(Colum	n 2)	(Column 3)	A	DDIT. FEE			ADDIT. FEE	200	
8		CLAIMS REMAINING		HIGHE	ST		Г		ADDI-	7 1		ADDI	
AMENDMENT		AFTER AMENDMENT		PREVIOU PAID FO	JSLY	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	TIONAL	-	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL	
	Total	•	Minus	**		=	r	X\$ 9=	FEE	OR	X\$18=	FEE	
ME	Ind pendent	•	Minus	***		=	┢	X43=		-104			
	FIRST PRESE	NTATION OF MU	LTIPLE DEI	PENDENT C	LAIM		$\vdash$	A43=	<b> </b>	OR	X86=		
										OR	+290=		
								TOTAL DIT. FEE		OR ,	TOTAL DDIT. FEE		
7		(Column 1)		(Column		(Column 3)	•						
		REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHES NUMBEI PREVIOUS PAID FO	R SLY	PRESENT EXTRA		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	
	Total	•	Minus	**	].	-		<b>(\$ 9=</b>			X\$18=		
	Independent		Minus	224	1	=	$\vdash$			OR			
1	FIRST PRESE	TATION OF MUI	LTIPLE DEP	ENDENT C	LAIM		H	(43=		OR	X86=		
If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.													
	"If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."									OR A	TOTAL OUT, FEE		
T	he "Highest Numb	er Previously Paid	For (Total or	Independent)	is the h	s, enter "3." ighest number fo	ound i	NT. FEE L	opriate box	t in colur	na 1.		

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 2 8 2005

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFF CE

In re Appin. of: Yu et al.

Appin. No.: 10

10/687,137

Filed:

October 16, 2003

For:

Pressure Regulating Valve for

**Automotive Fuel System** 

Attorney Docket No: 10541-1838

Examiner: Thomas N. Moulis

Art Unit: 3747

### **REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

In response to the Office Action mailed January 10, 2005, Applicants respectfully submit this paper comprising the following parts:

- I. Listing of Claims, beginning on page 2; and
- II. Remarks, beginning on page 6.

Applicants note that the Transmittal to which this paper is attached includes a Certificate of Facsimile Transmission under 37 C.F.R §1.8; and a fee statement calculating any fee(s) presently due in connection with the filing of this paper, along with an authorization to charge any fee deficiency to Deposit Account No. 06-1500.

00/03/2030 ENGLACHD 828:0030 800550 (000757 91 FE80E31 888.00 84 Appin. No. 10/160,077

Attomey Docket No. 10541-1233

application distinguishes over valves taught by *Riggle* by disclosing that having the first angle being smaller than the second angle "results in near linear contact in contrast to seals having surface-to-surface contact over a relatively large area, [by] providing[ing] more consistent seating of the valve body and a more consistent opening pressure" (emphasis added; see paragraph [0014], lines 11-13 of the present application). Thus, *Riggle*'s valve assembly using surface-to-surface contact is distinctly different from the valve seat as recited in amended claims 3 and 13 of the present application. Additionally, there is no suggestion or motivation in *Riggle* that would lead to the elements recited in amended claims 3 and 13.

#### Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record. Thus, claims 2-4 and 11-14 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

March 28, 2005

Date

Eric J. Sasenko (Rea. No. 34,440)